		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	nan/	09/747,385	ATTARIAN ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit
		David A. Lambertson	1636
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson</u> .		(3)	
(2) Elizabeth Sampson.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 June 2004		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview			
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted on May 20, 2004 regarding the status of the case. The representative was informed that no response was apparently filed in the case, and that the case was abandoned. Applicant's representative indicated that a response was filed in the case, and agreed to supply a copy of the return postcard stamped by the USPTO in order to demonstrate that the amendment was filed and received by the Office. However, a copy of the stamped return postcard was not provided in the communication, only a copy of the unstamped postcard to be sent in to the Office. Applicant's representative was again contacted on 6/9/04 and 6/21/04 regarding this matter. Two voicemail messages were left, asking the representative to provide the stamped postcard, as opposed to an unstamped postcard, in order to avoid abandonment of the case; these messages were not returned. In the absence of additional information from Applicant's representative, the case is considered to be abandoned.